Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/621,187	MURPHY ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	David L. Sorkin	1723
All Participants:	Status of Application	า:
(1) <u>David L. Sorkin</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Laura C. Hargitt</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 29 June 2005	Time: 10 AM EDT	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ A Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	Applicant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: not discussed		
Claims discussed: 1-4,8-11,13-19 and 21-30	•	
Prior art documents discussed: not discussed		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE Of Ms. Hargitt authorized an examiner's amendment canceling		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a septidirectly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a septidid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief success 	on. The examiner will provide a arate record of the substance of	written summary of the substance of the interview, since the interview
,	•	
		•
sail but	•	
	plicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)